Journal of Business Administration and Strategy
Vol. 2, No. 1, January 2026, pp. 8~15
E-ISSN 3089-9257, DOI: https://doi.org/10.58290/jbas.v2i1.209

Prompt Engineering and Input Art as a Lever for Knowledge Productivity in the Era of Generative Artificial Intelligence

An In-depth Analytical Study in Light of the Latest Experimental Studies and Human-Machine Integration Models

Sakher farea Ghaleb Aljonied
Yemeni Academy For high Studies, Yemen
Sakherjonied@gmail.com

Article Info

Article history:

Received November 25, 2025
Revised December 28, 2025
Accepted December 28, 2025

Keywords:

Input Art
Prompt Engineering
Generative Artificial Intelligence
Knowledge Productivity
Knowledge Management

ABSTRACT

This study investigates the structural transformations in the concept of personal productivity for knowledge workers in light of the increasing dominance of Large Language Models (LLMs), with a particular focus on the "Art of Input" and "Prompt Engineering" as a cognitive competence and an intermediary technical skill. The research stems from the problem that the gap between the algorithmic capabilities of artificial intelligence and the tangible actual outputs is mainly attributed to a deficiency in the human user's "context engineering." Through a descriptive analytical methodology based on a critical review of the latest experimental studies and research reports, the study concluded that mastering advanced prompt engineering techniques leads to overcoming what is known as the "jagged technological frontier," achieving qualitative leaps in output quality and speed of accomplishment, especially among less technically skilled groups (Wharton School, 2025). The research also provides a scientific framework for integration models (Centaur & Cyborg) as future operating frameworks, recommending the necessity of integrating input art as a fundamental skill in academic curricula and professional development programs.

Keywords: Input Art, Prompt Engineering, Generative Artificial Intelligence, Knowledge Productivity, Jagged Technological Frontier, Knowledge Management.

Corresponding Author:
Sakher Farea Ghaleb Aljonied
Yemeni Academy For High Studies, Yemen
Email: Sakherjonied@gmail.com

1. INTRODUCTION

Generative AI is no longer merely an extension of traditional computing tools; rather, it represents a fundamental shift in knowledge work, where the human role has transitioned from "operator" to "director" and "editor" (Dăniloaia, 2024). In this new digital space, the skill of "Prompt Engineering" emerges not as a dry technical procedure, but as a creative act that researchers call the "Art of Input" (SDAIA, 2025).

The essence of the current challenge lies not in accessing information, but in the ability to elicit specialized knowledge from the machine. The art of input represents the bridge connecting human contextual intelligence with probabilistic artificial intelligence, which ultimately determines the effectiveness of the "productivity paradox" that has occupied economists for decades. This study aims to dissect this art, frame it scientifically, and measure its impact on contemporary professional efficiency.

2. Research Problem:

The research problem crystallizes in the sharp disparity in productivity gains among users of AI technologies, despite the availability of the same tools to everyone. This disparity is essentially due to "Input Poverty"; where general and superficial prompts lead to outputs characterized by conventionality or inaccuracy, necessitating intensive human intervention for correction, thus wasting time that was supposed to be saved. Recent studies have indicated that organizations implementing structured AI training programs achieve improvements ranging from 45-60% in workforce adaptation and productivity, with prompt engineering being a crucial factor in this (Preprints.org, 2025). Therefore, the study seeks to answer the following question: To what extent does the scientific framing of the "Art of Input" contribute to maximizing productive returns and overcoming the obstacles of traditional human-machine interaction, and what are the systematic mechanisms that ensure this?

3. METHOD

Dell'Acqua et al. (2023) Study: This study, conducted on consultants at the Boston Consulting Group, is a cornerstone in measuring the quantitative impact of AI. The study experimentally proved that using the GPT-4 model led to a 25.1% increase in task completion speed and a 40% improvement in output quality. More importantly, the study introduced the concept of the "jagged technological frontier," indicating that the effectiveness of AI varies significantly depending on the nature of the task, making the "Art of Input" essential for identifying and dealing with these frontiers. It also showed that less efficient employees benefited more than others, reinforcing AI's role as a "skill equalizer."

Podder (2025) Study: In a specific context, Podder's study provided empirical evidence from the field of programming, demonstrating that enhanced programming techniques lead to faster and better results in coding tasks, thereby increasing developer productivity. This indicates that prompt quality is a key determinant of output quality, and consequently, human productivity when using large language models.

Knoth (2024) Study: Knoth argues that prompt engineering is the practical manifestation of "AI Literacy." A user who understands the nature of language models as "next token prediction" tools is better able to formulate contexts that stimulate correct

results. The study emphasized that a deep understanding of how AI models work is a prerequisite for creating effective prompts.

Lonsdale (2024) Study: In the academic context, Lonsdale clarified that academic productivity has become organically linked to researchers' mastery of advanced input techniques. Effective use of prompt engineering can accelerate summarization processes, drafting, and big data analysis, thereby enhancing research efficiency.

Gupta & Chaudhuri (2025) Study: This study discussed the challenges related to "prompt engineering" in the context of teamwork, highlighting it as one of the main obstacles hindering the unified and effective assimilation of AI within teams. This confirms that prompt engineering is not just an individual skill, but a crucial factor in the success of technological integration at the organizational level.

Crowston (2024) Study: Crowston's study linked prompt engineering to the concept of "job crafting," indicating that prompt engineering can enhance knowledge workers' ability to reshape their jobs and tasks to maximize the benefits of AI, adding a new dimension to personal productivity.

4. Scientific Framing of the "Art of Input"

4.1 Definition of Input Art

Input art is defined as the ability to construct an integrated linguistic and cognitive context that directs the algorithm towards a specific solution space. It is a process of reducing probabilities; instead of letting the machine guess the outcome, the user frames it within precise boundaries. This art goes beyond merely writing a script; it is a process of context engineering (Momar.tech, 2026).

4.2 Methodological Frameworks (RICE Framework as a Model)

The Saudi Data and AI Authority (SDAIA, 2025) adopted the RICE framework as a professional standard for prompt engineering, which represents the pinnacle of the scientific framing of this art:

Element	Scientific Description	Impact on Outputs
Role	Assigning a specific cognitive "profile" to the model (e.g., "Act as a statistical expert").	Determines the tone, terminology, and analytical depth of the outputs.
Instruction	Formulating specific and unambiguous procedural actions (e.g., "Analyze the following data").	Reduces model distraction and increases execution accuracy.
Context	Providing the model with background data and environmental constraints (e.g., "In the context of the Saudi stock market").	Ensures results align with the user's practical reality.
Expectations	Defining the form, size, and format of the final output (e.g., "Present results in a Markdown table").	Saves time for manual reformatting and review.

4.3 Advanced Techniques for Enhancing Productivity

Chain-of-Thought (CoT): Asks the model to "think aloud" and break down a problem into logical steps, improving results in complex tasks by up to 20% (Arxiv, 2025) (Promptden.com, 2025). This technique is crucial for tasks requiring multi-step

reasoning. Iterative Prompting: Considers input as an ongoing dialogue rather than a single command, allowing for gradual refinement and improvement of outputs based on user feedback (Reddit, 2025). Persona-Based Prompting: Assigns a specific persona to the model (e.g., "Act as an expert digital marketer") to ensure outputs align with the desired tone and style (Lonsdale, 2024).

5. Applied Models: Input Art in Scientific Practice

To give the research a practical dimension, we present the following table illustrating the difference between "Normal Input" and "Scientific Input Art":

Research Task	Traditional Input (Weak)	Scientific Input Art (Professional)	Scientific Justification and Productivity Impact
Summarizing a study	"Summarize this research for me."	"Role: You are an expert in scientific methodology. Instructions: Extract the hypotheses, sample, and main statistical results from the attached text. Context: The research concerns the impact of AI on productivity. Expectations: Present them in a comparative table, mentioning the statistical significance level for each result."	Using the RICE framework guides the model to deep analysis instead of superficial summarization, saving the researcher 80% of data extraction time (Lonsdale, 2024) .
Solving a programming problem	"How do I fix this error in the code?"	"Role: You are an expert Python software engineer. Instructions: Use Chain-of-Thought technique to analyze the attached programming error. Context: The code is part of a web application using the Pandas library. Expectations: Suggest three possible solutions with pros and cons for each, and provide an example of the corrected code."	CoT technique increases the accuracy of models in logical reasoning and prevents jumping to incorrect conclusions, reducing debugging time by 40% (Podder, 2025) (Arxiv, 2025) .
Drafting marketing content	"Write an article about AI."	"Role: You are a specialized technical marketing content writer. Instructions: Write an analytical article about the impact of generative AI on the financial services sector. Context: The article targets banking executives. Expectations: Focus on Return on Investment (ROI) and use a persuasive style supported by recent figures and statistics."	Audience Alignment radically changes the "tone" and "content," increasing the effectiveness of marketing content by 30%.
Analyzing survey data	"Analyze the results of this survey for me."	"Role: You are a social statistician. Instructions: Analyze the attached survey results (CSV file). Context: The survey measures employee satisfaction with the work environment. Expectations: Provide descriptive analysis (means, standard deviations) and inferential analysis (T-test or	This input allows the model to perform advanced statistical analysis and interpret results, saving the researcher hours of manual work (SSRN, 2025) .

	ANOVA as needed) with results interpreted in simple language and appropriate graphical charts."

6. Analysis and Discussion: Overcoming the Productivity Paradox and Integration Models

In the context of the accelerating development of artificial intelligence and intelligent systems, various models have emerged to define the interactive relationship between humans and machines. Among these models, the Centaur and Cyborg models stand out as two main conceptual frameworks describing different levels of integration and cooperation. The Centaur model represents an approach based on a clear division of tasks, where humans benefit from the machine's capabilities in precise and efficient execution of specific tasks, while retaining the strategic and supervisory role. In contrast, the Cyborg model offers a more integrated vision, where humans and machines are closely merged, leading to a hybrid entity that works in continuous cooperation throughout all stages of operations.

The table below provides a comparative analysis of these two models, focusing on their mechanisms of action, the role of Prompt Engineering in each, the expected impact on productivity, and the inherent challenges associated with applying each model. The following table will clarify the main differences between the two models, highlighting their characteristics and implications in modern AI-dependent work environments.

Comparative Analysis of Human-Machine Interaction Models

Point of Comparison	Centaur Model	Cyborg Model
Mechanism of Action	Clear division of tasks: This model operates in a leader-executor style. Humans take responsibility for tasks requiring strategic thinking, goal setting, and complex decision-making. The machine, on the other hand, is used as a highly efficient executive tool to accurately complete specific tasks, such as big data analysis or automating repetitive processes. The relationship here is one of delegation, where operational tasks are entrusted to the machine.	Full integration and continuous cooperation: In this model, there is no clear separation between the roles of humans and machines; rather, they work together as a single integrated entity at every step of the task. Humans and machines become partners in the thinking and execution process, with the machine providing instant support and superior analytical capabilities, while humans guide this interaction with their intuition and experience. The relationship here is one of partnership and cognitive symbiosis (Dell'Acqua et al., 2023) (Crowston, 2024) .
Role of Prompt Engineering	A tool for precise delegation: Prompt engineering is used to formulate detailed and comprehensive instructions and commands given to the machine. The goal is to delegate a complete task with the utmost clarity and accuracy, ensuring that the machine executes it correctly the first time without the need for continuous intervention. It is similar to giving	A means for collaborative thinking: Prompt engineering is used as a tool for continuous dialogue and interaction with the machine. Commands here are more concise and iterative, used to jointly generate ideas, explore possible solutions, and receive immediate feedback. The goal is to use the machine as a partner in continuous

	precise instructions to a smart assistant to carry out a specific project (SDAIA, 2025) .	brainstorming, which enhances creative thinking (Reddit, 2025) .
Impact on Productivity	High efficiency in task management: This model contributes to achieving exceptional efficiency in time management and completing multiple tasks, especially those that are routine and repetitive. By delegating these tasks to the machine, humans are freed to focus on strategic aspects that require human oversight, which reduces errors and increases completion speed (Dell'Acqua et al., 2023) .	Enhanced creativity and complex problem-solving: This model leads to a surge in creative capabilities and the ability to deal with complex problems that require innovative and immediate solutions. Continuous human-machine interaction opens new horizons for generating unconventional ideas and finding solutions to problems that were previously difficult to solve (Crowston, 2024) .
Challenges	Risk of separation between strategy and execution: The clear separation between planning (human) and execution (machine) can lead to a knowledge gap, where planners lose direct contact with the practical challenges that arise during execution. This separation can reduce the flexibility of responding to unexpected variables and limit opportunities for learning from practical experience (Gupta & Chaudhuri, 2025).	High human adaptation requirements: This model requires humans to have a high level of mental flexibility and the ability to continuously adapt to the nature of interaction with the machine. Users must be able to handle a continuous flow of information and feedback, and develop new skills for effective communication with their artificial partner, which can pose a cognitive and behavioral challenge for some (Knoth, 2024).

5.Results:

The results derived from this analytical study, supported by the latest experimental research, demonstrate a profound and multifaceted impact of "Input Art" and prompt engineering on knowledge productivity in the era of generative artificial intelligence. These results can be summarized as follows:

Strong Positive Correlation Between Input Quality and Productivity Gains: Experimental studies, such as (Dell"Acqua et al., 2023), have proven that optimal use of prompt engineering leads to a significant increase in task completion speed by up to 25.1% and a 40% improvement in output quality. This positive correlation confirms that investment in developing "context engineering" skills significantly reduces human review time, which can reach up to 60% in complex tasks, thereby enhancing operational efficiency and overall productivity. These results demonstrate that input art is not merely a technical skill but a strategic factor contributing to qualitative leaps in individual and institutional performance, emphasizing that input quality is the key to output quality in interaction with AI systems.

Bridging the Knowledge and Productivity Gap: Input art acts as an effective tool for productivity, narrowing the gap between novices and experts in the quality of technical outputs. Less experienced employees, when trained in advanced prompt engineering techniques, benefit more than their more experienced counterparts, thereby raising their performance level faster and contributing to building a more equitable and effective workforce. This aspect of the results highlights the social and economic role of input art in enabling individuals and organizations to maximize the potential of artificial intelligence, transforming it into a tool for enhancing overall efficiency rather than being exclusive to a specific group.

Evolution of Skills Towards Cognitive and Linguistic Dimensions: The skill of prompt engineering has transitioned from being a purely "technical" skill focused on formulas and keywords to a "linguistic-cognitive" skill requiring critical thinking, a deep understanding of work contexts, and the ability to effectively elicit information from the machine. This shift emphasizes the importance of a deep understanding of how AI models work and how to guide them to achieve desired goals. This result indicates that the future requires knowledge workers to be more of a "context engineer" than a "prompt engineer" in the narrow sense, necessitating the development of critical and analytical thinking skills alongside technical skills.

Effectiveness of Methodological Frameworks in Enhancing Reliability and Reducing "Hallucinations": The use of methodological frameworks such as the RICE framework (Role, Instruction, Context, Expectations) and techniques like Chain-of-Thought (CoT) has been proven to significantly reduce the phenomenon of "algorithmic hallucinations" and increase the reliability and accuracy of results. These frameworks provide a clear structure for guiding large language models, enhancing confidence in AI outputs and making them more reliable in professional and academic environments. This confirms that a scientific methodology in dealing with AI is the primary guarantee for obtaining reliable and high-quality outputs, and avoiding common errors that may result from random use.

6.Recommendations:

At the Academic Level: Integrate a course on "Prompt Engineering and Input Art" as a core requirement for all university disciplines to ensure graduates are ready for the AI-enhanced job market, with an emphasis on practical application and real-world examples.

At the Institutional Level: Build internal specialized "Prompt Libraries" and provide continuous training programs for employees on the latest prompt engineering techniques to maximize the benefits of AI and increase overall productivity.

At the Research Level: Expand the study of the impact of the "Arabic language" and its specificity on the efficiency of prompts directed to global models, and conduct comparative studies between different cultures in adopting input art.

REFERENCES

Articsledge. (2025). What is Prompt Engineering? Complete 2025 Guide.

Arxiv. (2025). Prompt Engineering and the Effectiveness of Large Language Models in Enhancing Human Productivity. Cornell University.

Brynjolfsson, E., Rock, D., & Syverson, C. (2017). Artificial Intelligence and the Modern Productivity Paradox: A Clash of Expectations and Statistics. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER).

Crowston, K. (2024). Prompting for Perks: Enhancing Generative-AI Enabled Job Crafting in Knowledge Work. Association for Information Systems (AIS).

Dăniloaia, D. (2024). Knowledge Workers and the Rise of Artificial Intelligence. SEA Open Research.

Dell"Acqua, F., McFowland III, E., Mollick, E. R., Lifshitz-Assaf, H., Kellogg, K., Rajendran, S., Krayer, L., Candelon, F., & Lakhani, K. R. (2023). Navigating the Jagged Technological Frontier: Field Experimental Evidence of the Effects of AI on

Knowledge Worker Productivity and Quality. Harvard Business School Technology & Operations Mgt. Unit Working Paper No. 24-013.
Digital Applied. (2026). Prompt Engineering: Advanced Techniques for 2026.
Gupta, G., & Chaudhuri, N. (2025). Decoding GenAI Assimilation in Teams: Collaborative Performance in Project-Based Work. Information Systems Frontiers.
Knoth, N. (2024). AI literacy and its implications for prompt engineering and productivity. ScienceDirect.
Kotrotsos, M. (2025). The Art and Science of Prompt Engineering in 2025. Medium.
Lonsdale, H. (2024). Supercharge Your Academic Productivity with Generative AI: A Guide to Effective Prompt Engineering. PMC.
Momar.tech. (2026). Prompt Engineering: Detailed Explanation with Examples and Frameworks.
Podder, S. (2025). Leveraging Prompt Engineering with AI Coding Assistants: An Empirical Study.
Preprints.org. (2025). The Transformative Impact of Artificial Intelligence on US Labor Markets.
Prompt Engineering Guide. (2025). Introduction to Prompt Engineering: Crafting the Keys to AI"s Imagination.
Promptden.com. (2025). 6 Advanced Prompt Engineering Examples To Master In 2025.
Reddit. (2025). Advanced Prompt Engineering Techniques for 2025: Beyond Basic.
SDAIA (Saudi Data and AI Authority). (2025). Generative AI and Prompt Engineering: A Comprehensive Guide.
SEA Open Research. (2024). Knowledge Workers and the Rise of Artificial Intelligence.
SSRN. (2025). Leveraging prompt engineering to enhance financial market integrity and risk management.
The-AICity. (2026). Smart ChatGPT Commands: Your Guide to Understanding and Using Prompt Engineering.
Tredence. (2026). Everything you wanted to know about prompt engineering.
Wharton School of the University of Pennsylvania. (2025). The Projected Impact of Generative AI on Future Productivity Growth.