Global Journal of Taxation and Fiscal Policy
Vol. 1, No. 2, July 2025, pp. 52~58
E-ISSN 3089-9621, DOI: https://doi.org/10.58290/gjtfp.v1i2.24

Literature Review on the Relationship Between Taxation and Social Welfare Across Various Countries

Susi Nofitasari[1], Risa Haruman Putri[2]

[1]Universitas Indonesia Mandiri, Lampung, Indonesia. E-mail: susinofitasari@uimandiri.ac.id
[2]Universitas Indonesia Mandiri, Lampung, Indonesia. E-mail: Dr.risahputri@gmail.com

**Corresponding E-mail: susinofitasari@uimandiri.ac.id*

Article

Keywords:

Tax Compliance, Social Welfare, Progressive Taxation, Digital Taxation, Policy Reform

History of Article
Received: April 25, 2025;
Reviewed: May 13, 2025;
Accepted: June 20, 2025;
Published: July 30, 2025

Abstract

This study analyzed the relationship between taxation and social welfare in various countries by conducting a systematic literature review. The research aims to identify key factors influencing tax compliance and the role of taxation in income redistribution and welfare financing. The study adopts a qualitative approach by analyzing academic literature, policy reports, and empirical findings from reputable sources. The results indicate that progressive taxation significantly contributes to social welfare enhancement in high-income countries, whereas developing nations face challenges such as low tax compliance and administrative inefficiencies. The findings highlight the importance of tax education, digital taxation systems, and transparent policies in improving compliance and maximizing welfare benefits. Moreover, the study underscores the need for inclusive tax reforms that ensure fair distribution of tax burdens while optimizing revenue allocation for public services. Policymakers should focus on strengthening institutional frameworks, enhancing technological adoption, and fostering public trust to improve the effectiveness of taxation in supporting social welfare. This research provides in-depth insights into global taxation trends and policy recommendations for developing more equitable and efficient tax systems.

1. INTRODUCTION

Taxes are a primary source of government revenue, financing various social welfare programs. As an instrument of income redistribution, taxation plays a crucial role in reducing inequality and improving the quality of life. A study by Bird & Zolt (2020) emphasizes that well-designed tax policies can significantly contribute to social equity. Globally, progressive tax systems in advanced economies such as Sweden, Norway, and Denmark have successfully enhanced societal welfare. In contrast, developing countries continue to face structural challenges in tax administration (OECD, 2022).

The average tax revenue as a percentage of GDP reveals a significant gap between developed and developing countries. According to IMF data (2023), advanced economies collect an average of 33.4% of GDP in tax revenues, compared to just 14.9% in developing nations. Nordic countries, such as Sweden, exemplify successful implementation of progressive taxation, allocating up to 40% of their national budgets for social welfare programs (Andersen et al., 2021). Conversely, in Sub-Saharan African countries, low tax compliance and corruption often hinder effective redistribution efforts.

Other studies reveal that the United States has lower income redistribution rates compared to European countries, despite its substantial GDP (Katz et al., 2022). This disparity is attributed to a tax system that favors corporations over individuals. This phenomenon underscores the necessity for inclusive tax reforms to address global inequality.

In Indonesia, taxes play a strategic role in funding welfare programs such as the Indonesia Health Card (Kartu Indonesia Sehat) and the Indonesia Smart Card (Kartu Indonesia Pintar). According to the Ministry of Finance report (2023), tax revenue allocation for welfare programs increased by 15% compared to the previous year. However, the primary challenge lies in low tax compliance, with potential losses amounting to IDR 100 trillion annually (Nugroho et al., 2023).

The use of technology, such as e-filing and e-billing, has helped enhance tax transparency and accountability. However, its effectiveness remains limited in remote areas (Sari et al., 2022). Globally, a study by Stantcheva (2020) highlights the importance of redistributive approaches integrating technology to improve tax system efficiency.

The following table 1 illustrates the tax revenue and budget allocation for social welfare in selected countries:

Table 1. Tax Revenue and Allocation for Social Welfare

Country	Tax Revenue (% of GDP)	Social Welfare Allocation (% of Budget)
Sweden	42.0%	40.5%
United States	24.5%	15.0%
Nigeria	6.0%	5.0%
Indonesia	11.9%	9.8%

Source: OECD (2022), IMF (2023)

The table above demonstrates that developed countries like Sweden have high tax revenues and significant budget allocations for social welfare. Conversely, Nigeria, with only 6% of GDP collected as tax revenue, allocates a mere 5% of its budget to welfare programs. Indonesia, while still below the global average in tax revenue, shows promising improvements in its welfare budget allocation.

Previous research by Musgrave & Musgrave (1989) defined taxes as a primary tool for redistribution. This study was updated by Keen (2022), who highlighted the role of technology in improving global tax systems. In the Indonesian context, research by Mardiasmo (2021) and Pohan (2023) emphasized the importance of strategic tax planning to enhance compliance and optimize state revenue.

Given the various studies and current data, the relationship between taxation and social welfare remains a relevant issue for further investigation. This study aims to provide a comprehensive literature review on the impact of taxation on social welfare in various countries, offering policy recommendations for both national and global contexts.

2. METHODS

This study employs a systematic literature review (SLR) methodology to explore the relationship between taxation and social welfare across various countries. This approach was selected because it enables an in-depth analysis of existing research while providing a robust conceptual foundation for understanding how tax policies impact social welfare (Snyder, 2019).

Research Design

The study began by identifying and collecting relevant literature from leading academic databases, including Scopus, Wiley Online Library, Springer, ProQuest, and SINTA. The selected literature includes journal articles, books, institutional reports (such as those from OECD, IMF, and the World Bank), and research findings published within the last five years to ensure up-to-date and relevant data (Page et al., 2021).

Criteria for Selecting Literature

The criteria for selecting the literature were as follows:

a) Articles discussing the relationship between taxation and social welfare.
b) Studies that used quantitative, qualitative, or mixed-method approaches (Xing et al., 2022).
c) Publications in reputable journals (indexed in Q1–Q3 and SINTA).
d) Focus on tax policies and their impact on welfare indicators such as education, healthcare, and income distribution (OECD, 2020).

Literature that was excluded from this study included:

a) Articles that were irrelevant, such as sectoral taxation studies unrelated to social welfare.
b) Publications in languages other than English or Indonesian unless an official translation was available (Munn et al., 2018).
c) Literature that was inaccessible or failed to meet scientific quality standards.

Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected through keyword searches such as “taxation and social welfare,” “progressive taxation,” “redistribution through taxes,” and “tax compliance and welfare” across the aforementioned databases. The search was filtered for publications from 2018 to 2023. All literature meeting the criteria was analyzed in detail (Booth et al., 2021).

Data Analysis

Data were analyzed thematically. Each selected article was reviewed to identify key themes such as the impact of progressive taxation on poverty reduction, the role of taxes in funding public services, and challenges in implementing tax policies in developing countries (Xing et al., 2022; IMF, 2021).

Data Validation

To enhance the accuracy of the findings, a comparison across multiple research sources (triangulation) was conducted. This study adhered to the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) guidelines to ensure transparency and traceability of the process (Page et al., 2021).

3. ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSION

A. Analysis of the Relationship Between Taxation and Social Welfare

In this analysis section, the researcher will discuss the relationship between taxation and social welfare, with a focus on the key findings derived from relevant literature. The analysis aims to identify differences from previous studies regarding the impact of taxation on social welfare.

1) Taxation and Income Redistribution

Progressive taxation plays a pivotal role in reducing income inequality. Findings from this study show that countries with progressive tax systems, such as Scandinavian nations, exhibit lower Gini coefficients compared to countries with regressive tax policies (OECD, 2020). For instance, Sweden reported a Gini index of 0.27 in 2021, significantly lower than the global average of 0.39 (World Bank, 2021). Table 2 illustrates a comparative analysis of income inequality metrics across selected countries:

Table 2. Income Inequality Metrics (Gini Index) in Selected Countries

No	Country	Gini Index (2021)	Tax System
1	Sweden	0.27	Progressive
2	United States	0.41	Regressive
3	Indonesia	0.37	Mixed
4	Brazil	0.53	Regressive

Source: World Bank (2021); OECD (2020).

This finding aligns with Xing et al., (2022), who highlighted the effectiveness of progressive taxation in reducing economic disparities. However, deviations are noted in some developing countries where administrative inefficiencies dilute the potential redistributive effects of progressive taxation (IMF, 2021).

2) Tax Revenue Allocation for Public Welfare

The study also reveals that the allocation of tax revenues to social programs, such as healthcare and education, directly impacts social welfare. High tax-to-GDP ratios are positively correlated with higher Human Development Index (HDI) scores. For example, Norway, with a tax-to-GDP ratio of 38%, achieved an HDI of 0.961 in 2021, ranking among the highest globally (UNDP, 2021).

Conversely, countries with low tax-to-GDP ratios, such as Nigeria (7%), exhibit lower HDI scores (0.535), reflecting inadequate public services (UNDP, 2021). Table 3 provides a comparative summary:

Table 3. Tax-to-GDP Ratios and HDI in Selected Countries

No	Country	Tax-to-GDP Ratio (%)	HDI (2021)
1	Norway	38	0.961
2	United States	27	0.926
3	Indonesia	11	0.705
4	Nigeria	7	0.535

Source: UNDP (2021); IMF (2021).

These findings support previous studies, such as those by Booth et al., (2021), which emphasized the importance of efficient tax revenue management in achieving sustainable development goals.

3) Challenges in Tax Policy Implementation

Despite the potential benefits, the implementation of tax policies faces significant challenges, especially in developing countries. Issues such as tax evasion, lack of enforcement mechanisms, and corruption hinder the realization of social welfare goals. For instance, Indonesia loses approximately 4% of its GDP annually to tax evasion, undermining its ability to fund critical social programs (World Bank, 2021).

Efforts to address these challenges include adopting digital tax systems and international cooperation to curb base erosion and profit shifting (BEPS). These measures have shown promising results in countries like India, where digital tax reforms increased compliance rates by 15% between 2020 and 2022 (IMF, 2022).

B. Discussion

This study provides new insights into the relationship between taxation and social welfare. Unlike previous research that primarily focused on developed countries, this study offers a unique perspective on the challenges faced by developing nations. Tax systems in developing countries often struggle with inefficiencies in administration, low tax compliance, and income distribution disparities, all of which can affect the effectiveness of tax policies in promoting social welfare.

In the field of digital taxation, this study reveals that the implementation of a digital tax system holds significant potential to enhance transparency and efficiency in tax administration. However, the adoption of tax technology faces challenges such as limited technological infrastructure, low digital literacy among taxpayers, and resistance to change from both the business sector and regional governments. Therefore, more inclusive policies are needed to ensure that the digitalization of taxation not only increases tax revenue but also strengthens overall compliance.

Additionally, this study underscores the importance of fairness in tax systems. A well-designed tax system should ensure that the tax burden is not disproportionately placed on low-income groups but is distributed proportionally based on taxpayers' economic capacity. These findings align with the tax fairness theory proposed by Musgrave and Musgrave (1989), which argues that a just tax system should consider both the ability-to-pay principle and the benefits received from public expenditures.

Furthermore, the study highlights how effective fiscal policies can help reduce social inequality through income redistribution. A well-implemented progressive tax system can support social welfare programs such as education, healthcare, and financial assistance for low-income communities. This aligns with the research of Stiglitz (2015), which emphasizes that a well-structured taxation system plays a crucial role in balancing economic growth with social justice.

Future research should explore the long-term impact of digital tax systems on tax compliance and equity. Comparative cross-country studies focusing on cultural and political factors could provide deeper insights into how these elements influence the effectiveness of tax policies in enhancing social welfare. Moreover, future studies could investigate the role of blockchain technology in tax systems to improve transparency and reduce tax fraud potential.

4. CONCLUSION

This study conducts an in-depth examination of the relationship between taxation and social welfare, highlighting the ways in which tax policies can influence societal well-being. The findings indicate that taxation plays a crucial role in fostering social welfare, particularly when governments implement equitable tax systems and allocate resources efficiently. Furthermore, the research highlights the significant impact of tax policies in addressing social inequality and funding essential public services such as healthcare, education, and social security, which directly contribute to improving the quality of life.

However, the study also identifies certain limitations in the application of taxation policies. For instance, the efficiency of tax systems can be hindered by issues such as corruption, inefficiency in tax collection, and inadequate public awareness of tax responsibilities. Therefore, it is essential for policymakers to ensure that tax systems are not only transparent but also accessible and inclusive. This would involve enhancing public awareness, improving tax compliance mechanisms, and ensuring that tax revenues are used effectively for public welfare.

The analysis also revealed that the effectiveness of taxation on social welfare varies across different regions and countries, largely due to contextual factors such as economic development, political stability, and institutional quality. In developing countries, for example, the challenge of tax administration is often compounded by weaker infrastructure and limited administrative capacity. As such, for tax policies to be successful in improving social welfare, a holistic approach that includes institutional reforms, better governance, and investments in human capital is crucial.

Based on these findings, the study suggests that future research should further explore the relationship between taxation and social welfare in the context of different income groups and the distribution of tax burdens. Additionally, it is important to investigate the role of tax incentives and subsidies in promoting social programs aimed at improving the living standards of marginalized communities. Understanding these dynamics can help refine tax policy recommendations for more inclusive and sustainable social welfare systems.

The study encourages governments to continuously review and update their taxation policies in alignment with evolving economic conditions and societal needs. By adopting a flexible approach to taxation and focusing on social justice, governments can create an environment where taxation serves as a tool for long-term social development and welfare enhancement.

REFERENCES

Andersen, T. M., Bentolila, S., Ichino, A., & Moore, R. (2021). *The Nordic model and welfare state*. Cambridge University Press.

Bird, R. M., & Zolt, E. M. (2020). Tax policy for developing countries. *National Tax Journal, 73*(1), 1–34. https://doi.org/10.17310/ntj.2020.1.01

Booth, A., Sutton, A., & Papaioannou, D. (2021). *Systematic approaches to a successful literature review* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications.

IMF. (2021). *Fiscal monitor: Strengthening the credibility of public finances*. International Monetary Fund.

Katz, L., Chetty, R., & Saez, E. (2022). Income inequality and redistribution: Cross-country evidence. *Quarterly Journal of Economics, 137*(4), 1501–1538. https://doi.org/10.1093/qje/qja124

Keen, M. (2022). Taxation, technology, and development. *Journal of Economic Perspectives, 36*(2), 35–58. https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.36.2.35
Mardiasmo. (2021). *Perpajakan: Teori dan praktik* (edisi terbaru). Yogyakarta: Andi Publisher.
Munn, Z., Peters, M. D. J., Stern, C., Tufanaru, C., McArthur, A., & Aromataris, E. (2018). Systematic review or scoping review? *Guidance for authors when choosing between a systematic or scoping review approach*. *BMC Medical Research Methodology, 18*(1), 143. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-018-0611-x
Musgrave, R. A., & Musgrave, P. B. (1989). *Public Finance in Theory and Practice*. McGraw-Hill.
Nugroho, W., Susanto, D., & Kurniawan, A. (2023). Tax compliance and its determinants in Indonesia. *Jurnal Keuangan dan Perbankan, 27*(3), 145–162. https://doi.org/10.2139/jkp.v27i3.8723
OECD. (2020). *Tax policy reform and economic growth*. OECD Publishing.
OECD. (2022). *Revenue Statistics 2022: Tax revenue trends in OECD countries*. Paris: OECD Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264261057-en
Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., et al. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, 372, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71
Pohan, C. A. (2023). *Manajemen Perpajakan: Strategi perencanaan pajak dan bisnis* (Edisi revisi). Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
Sari, R. N., Haryanto, A., & Wicaksono, T. (2022). The role of technology in increasing tax compliance: Evidence from Indonesia. *Journal of Economic Development Studies, 9*(2), 12–27. https://doi.org/10.1080/154056902.2022.2049
Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research, 104*, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039
Stantcheva, S. (2020). Understanding tax policy: How taxes affect income distribution. *Journal of Public Economics, 187*(5), 104–119. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpubeco.2020.104119.
Stiglitz, J. E. (2015). *The Great Divide: Unequal Societies and What We Can Do About Them*. W.W. Norton & Company.
Tanzi, V. (2017). *Taxation and Economic Growth: A Historical Perspective*. Cambridge University Press.
Xing, Y., Zhou, J., & Zhang, Q. (2022). Taxation and income inequality: Evidence from developing countries. *Economic Modelling, 110*, 105745. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2022.105745